Interview Summary

4

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/522,752	ANDREW ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jessica H. Roark	1644

Date of Interview: 22 January 2003. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Claim(s) discussed: ☐ Identification of prior art discussed: ☐ Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant advised that the PTO-948, PTO-892, initialed IDS and references cited had not been received with the copy of the Office Action of 12/19/02 re-mailed 12/30/02. Applicant requested these items be re-mailed or faxed. Examiner indicated that a full copy of Office Action originally mailed 12/19/02 would be re-mailed and, in addition, copies of the PTO-948 and PTO-892 would be faxed to 978 341-0136. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
Date of Interview: 22 January 2003 . Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ . Claim(s) discussed: ☐ . Identification of prior art discussed: ☐ . Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant advised that the PTO-948, PTO-892, intitaled pals and regreences cited had not been received with the copy of the Office Action of 12/19/02 re-mailed 12/30/02. Applicant requested these items be re-mailed or faxed. Examiner indicated that a full copy of Office Action originally mailed 12/19/02 would be re-mailed and, in addition, copies of the PTO-948 and PTO-892 would be faxed to 978 341-0136. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on	(1) <u>Jessica H. Roark</u> . (3)		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant advised that the PTO-948, PTO-892, initiated IDS and references cited had not been received with the copy of the Office Action of 12/19/02 re-mailed 12/30/02. Applicant requested these items be re-mailed or faxed. Examiner indicated that a full copy of Office Action originally mailed 12/19/02 would be re-mailed and, in addition, copies of the PTO-948 and PTO-892 would be faxed to 978 341-0136. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on	(2) <u>Helen E. Wendler</u> . (4)		
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *Applicant advised that the PTO-948, PTO-892, initialed IDS and references cited had not been received with the copy of the Office Action of 12/19/02 re-mailed 12/30/02. Applicant requested these items be re-mailed or faxed. Examiner indicated that a full copy of Office Action originally mailed 12/19/02 would be re-mailed and, in addition, copies of the PTO-948 and PTO-892 would be faxed to 978 341-0136. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on	Date of Interview: 22 January 2003.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant advised that the PTO-948, PTO-892, initialed IDS and references cited had not been received with the copy of the Office Action of 12/19/02 re-mailed 12/30/02. Applicant requested these items be re-mailed or faxed. Examiner indicated that a full copy of Office Action originally mailed 12/19/02 would be re-mailed and, in addition, copies of the PTO-948 and PTO-892 would be faxed to 978 341-0136 (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on	Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant advised that the PTO-948, PTO-892, initialed IDS and references cited had not been received with the copy of the Office Action of 12/19/02 re-mailed 12/30/02. Applicant requested these items be re-mailed or faxed. Examiner indicated that a full copy of Office Action originally mailed 12/19/02 would be re-mailed and, in addition, copies of the PTO-948 and PTO-892 would be faxed to 978 341-0136. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on	$\prime =$		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant advised that the PTO-948, PTO-892, initialed IDS and references cited had not been received with the copy of the Office Action of 12/19/02 re-mailed 12/30/02. Applicant requested these items be re-mailed or faxed. Examiner indicated that a full copy of Office Action originally mailed 12/19/02 would be re-mailed and, in addition, copies of the PTO-948 and PTO-892 would be faxed to 978 341-0136. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on	Claim(s) discussed:		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant advised that the PTO-948, PTO-892, initialed IDS and references cited had not been received with the copy of the Office Action of 12/19/02 re-mailed 12/30/02. Applicant requested these items be re-mailed or faxed. Examiner indicated that a full copy of Office Action originally mailed 12/19/02 would be re-mailed and, in addition, copies of the PTO-948 and PTO-892 would be faxed to 978 341-0136. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on	Identification of prior art discussed:		
reached, or any other comments: Applicant advised that the PTO-948, PTO-892, initialed IDS and references cited had not been received with the copy of the Office Action of 12/19/02 re-mailed 12/30/02. Applicant requested these items be re-mailed or faxed. Examiner indicated that a full copy of Office Action originally mailed 12/19/02 would be re-mailed and, in addition, copies of the PTO-948 and PTO-892 would be faxed to 978 341-0136. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on	Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		

Attachment to a signed Office action.

J.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Examiner's signature, if required

3 OK



PGS.

RESULT

United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023I
www.uspto.gov

The series of th

Date: 22 Jan 2003

To: Helen E. Wendler	From: Jessica H. Roark
Application/Control Number: 09/522,752	Art Unit: 1644
Fax No.: 978 341-0136	Phone No.: (703) 605-1209
Voice No.: 978-341-0036	Return Fax No.: (703) 305-3014
Re: 09/522,752 (your docket # 1855.1064-003)	CC:
Urgent For Review For Comm	nent For Reply Per Your Request

Comments:

Please find attached a copy of the PTO-948 and PTO-892 for the Office Action mailed 12/19/02 and remailed 12/30/02.

A hard copy of these documents along with a copy of the full Office Action and all attachments will follow shortly by mail.

Thank you for the opportunity to complete the file.

James Lorl



United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023I
www.uspto.gov

Fax Cover Sheet

Date: 22 Jan 2003 To: Helen E. Wendler From: Jessica H. Roark Art Unit: 1644 Application/Control Number: 09/522,752 Fax No.: 978 341-0136 **Phone No.:** (703) 605-1209 **Return Fax No.:** (703) 305-3014 Voice No.: 978-341-0036 **Re:** 09/522,752 (your docket # 1855.1064-003) CC: For Reply **Per Your Request Urgent For Review For Comment** Please find attached a copy of the PTO-948 and PTO-892 for the Office Action mailed 12/19/02 and remailed 12/30/02. A hard copy of these documents along with a copy of the full Office Action and all attachments will follow shortly by mail. Thank you for the opportunity to complete the file. Jessica Roark Patent Examiner Art Unit 1644

Number of pages 3 including this page

Technology Center 1600 January 22, 2003

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This facsimile transmission is an Official U.S. Government document which may contain information which is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231